UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **OAKLAND DIVISION**

4	WARNE OF GALVEONIA	(C) N. 04 4055 (CD.1)	
5	KARUK TRIBE OF CALIFORNIA,) Civ. No. 04-4275 (SBA)	
6	Plaintiff;)	
7	v.) JOINT STIPULATION	
8	UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE;) FOR PARTIAL SETTLEMENT) AND PROPOSED ORDER	
9	JEFF WALTER, Forest Supervisor, Six Rivers National Forest;))	
10	MARGARET BOLAND, Forest Supervisor, Klamath National Forest,))	
11	ŕ)	
12	Defendants.)	
13		_)	
14	Plaintiff Karuk Tribe of California and Def	fendants United States Forest Service et al.	
15	("Federal Defendants") hereby submit this Joint Stipulation for Partial Settlement and Proposed Order for this Court's approval Plaintiff and Federal Defendants agree to the following:		
16			
17			
18	WHEREAS, on January 31, 2005, Plaintiff	Karuk Tribe brought claims, alleging, inter alia,	
19	that the Defendant United States Forest Service ap	proved five mining Plans of Operation ("PoOs")	
20	without compliance with the Endangered Species	Act ("ESA") and the National Environmental	
21	Policy Act ("NEPA"), and the implementing regulations of these laws. These PoOs are listed in		
22			
23			
24	WHEREAS, compliance with these laws and regulations is required when the Forest Service		
25	reviews and/or approves a PoO;		
26	WHEREAS, it is in the interests of the pub	lic, the parties and judicial economy to resolve the	

JOINT STIPULATION FOR PARTIAL SETTLEMENT AND PROPOSED ORDER Civ No. 04-4275 (SBA)

issues involving the challenged PoOs without continued litigation;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree to the following in partial settlement of this case:

- 1. Defendants agree that each of the challenged PoOs were approved without compliance with the ESA, NEPA, and their implementing regulations.
- 2. Defendants agree that, in the future, the United States Forest Service will fully comply with these and all other applicable laws and regulations prior to approval of any PoO.
- 3. Plaintiff agrees to dismiss with prejudice its claims regarding the five PoOs identified in paragraph 5 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 4. Regardless of the preceding paragraph, Plaintiff reserves the right to seek, at the conclusion of this case, award of its reasonable costs, fees, expenses and disbursements, including reasonable attorney fees and costs, associated with this case, including but not limited to, Plaintiff's challenge to the Forest Service's approval of each of the five PoOs without compliance with the ESA, NEPA, and their implementing regulations.
- 5. The parties agree that this partial settlement does not impact any of Plaintiff's remaining claims or any of the Defendants' stated defenses to those claims.
- 6. The undersigned representatives of the Plaintiff and Defendants certify that they are fully authorized by the party or parties they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this agreement and to legally bind the parties to it.
- 7. Nothing in this agreement shall be construed to deprive a federal official of authority to revise, amend or promulgate regulations.
- 8. Nothing in this agreement shall be interpreted as, or shall constitute, a commitment or requirement that the Forest Service obligate or pay funds, or take any other action in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable appropriations law.

1	9.	This agreement is based on and limited solely to the facts involved in this case. Nothing	
2		in this agreement shall be construed to limit Plaintiff's right to bring a new challenge to	
3		any other mining-related or other decision of the Forest Service.	
4			
5			
6	Respectfully submitted this _22nd_ day of April, 2005.		
7	/s/ Joshua Borger		
8			
9	James R. Wheaton (State Bar No. 115230) Iryna A. Kwasny (State Bar No. 173518)		
10	Joshua Bo	orger (State Bar No. 231951) NMENTAL LAW FOUNDATION	
11	1736 Fran	nklin Street, 9th Floor	
12		CA 94612) 208-4555	
13	Fax: (510) 208-4562	
14			
15	/s/ Roger	Flynn	
16		vnn, Appearance Pro Hac Vice Parsons, Appearance Pro Hac Vice	
17	WESTER	N MINING ACTION PROJECT	
18		eline Rd., Suite 101A CO 80302	
) 473-9618) 786-8054	
19	wmap@ig	,	
20	Attorneys	for the Karuk Tribe of California	
21			
22		A TOTINGON	
23		A. JOHNSON ssistant Attorney General	
24	/s/ Barcla	y T. Samford	
25			
26	BARCLA Trial Atto	AY T. SAMFORD orney	
27		artment of Justice Street, Suite 945, North Tower	
28	777 IU L	7100, Salte 710, 1101th 10 mei	

1	Denver, CO 80202
2	(303) 312-7362 Fax (303) 312-7379
3	BRIAN C. TOTH Trial Attorney
4	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 663
5	Washington, D.C. 20044
6	(202) 305-0639 Fax (202) 305-0506
7	KEVIN V. RYAN (State Bar No. 118321)
8	United States Attorney Charles M. O'Connor (State Bar No. 56320)
9	Assistant United States Attorney
10	Environment & Natural Resources Unit 450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055
11	San Francisco, CA 94102
12	(415) 436-7180 Fax (415) 436-6748
13	Of counsel:
14	ROSE MISKOVSKY
15	U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of General Counsel
16	33 New Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
17	San Francisco, CA 94105-1924 Telephone: (415) 744-3158
18	Facsimile: (415) 744-3170
19	Attorneys for Federal Defendants
20	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED
22	
23	
24	/s/ Saundra Brown Armstrong 4-26-05
25	Saundra B. Armstrong Date
26	U.S. District Court Judge
27	

28